

# SMP Community Survey Results

<b>Completed Surveys</b>	
12	

<b>Question 1</b>	
Yes	9
No	2
Blank	1

<b>Question 1 If Yes</b>	
To neep tide 300+/-><ft'.	
150', residential	
residential w/Grays River at a distance 75-100 ft.	
Agricultural 2-3 miles	
100ft, residential	
600 ft, home	
seasonal drainage & run off creek	
Blank Answers	5

<b>Question 2</b>	
a	8
b	7
c	6
d	3
e	4
f	1
write in shipping in of products	1
write in flooding	1
Blank	1

<b>Question 3</b>	
a	9
b	7
c	9
d	9
e	5
f	8
write in No development	1
Blank	1

<b>Question 4</b>	
a	5
b	7
c	6
d	8
e	7
f	6
g	2
h	8
Blank	1

<b>Question 5</b>	
a	6
b	4
c	4
d	4
e	6
f	5
g	5
h	3
i	6
j	0
k	6
l	10
m	0
n	3
write in forest practices (cause) permitting of steep slopes-flooding	1
write in Fisheries Trying to destroy natural salmon run killing salmon by fisheries using in stream structures	1
Blank	1

<b>Question 6</b>	
a	0
b	4
c	1
Blank	7

# SMP Community Survey Results

Question 6 If Yes	
In the marinas or residential	
Miller Landing in Rosburg	
covered moorage supports longterm care of boats & equipment, if parking is needed for residential or industrial use it should be at the property owners description	
Apply for permits	
Blank	8

Question 7	
No.	
Keep setbacks short so homes and pastures are allowed to be a short distance is already there or being used	
Property owners should be added to "stakeholders". Breaching dikes/levees etc should not be allowed without critical evaluation, engineering, approval & mitigation for potential effects of region properties.	
Increase Ripcrian Buffer size (WDFW recomends sight potential tree height (SPTH))	
3 in 1 cutback on and along all waterway banks for stabilization & protect land from flooding allowing for clean water & salmon "reds"	
Blank	7

Question 8	
Private residential solid waste disposal/septic systems	
No.	
Residential and industrial sites, transportation issues with R4, boat launches, moorage in particular	
winter flooding should be taken into higher consideration & the effects thereof. Property owners, agriculture, aquaculture & livestock businesses should be given far more consideration as they are the basis of the watershed economy. Mitigation purely for	
Freshwater mussels and Lamprey are two species that need specal protection that people need to pay special considerations and are more susceptible to pollution and therefore an increased Buffer size will help protect these two species.	
forestries direct impact & cause-loss of topsoil & flooding-no studies done by state or local forestry co's and/or management	
Blank	6

Question 9	
Yes	4
No	3
Blank	5

# SMP Community Survey Results

<b>Give reason for answer for Question 9</b>	
I have no applications.	
Yes, I've been involved in this process since it began when the planning commission started it and then hired Crest. I'm on the board with Lily, Chuck and Nick.	
The draft SMP gives considerable discretion to the Shoreline Administrator, and that's good as every project is unique. Thus, specific requirements for a particular project may not be known until it's evaluated. Fee structure is not included in the draft SMP.	
Applicants must apply for recommendations from the planning commission to receive approval from the Commissioners	
Non-sufficient notice given re: meetings that address issues. Public meeting notices are not going to cut it for us	
Most of the Grays River shoreline is being bought by the Columbia Land Trust as a mitigation for Bonneville supported development. Follow the money. This is overreach by them & the Dept of Ecology. Property owners should have more control.	
application process and length even in shortened format to approval by state in part only especially length of time permitting process despite stated new shorter process	
Blank	5

<b>Question 10</b>	
The revision to the SMP have not been will publicized in my opinion. I believe that there should be west-end meetings concerning the revisions and that any deadlines should be revised to accommodate the process of meeting on the west end of county.	
Not at this time.	
This survey seemed to jump over a lot. I wish we could have had this Draft to go over six months ago!	
Make sure Grays River is not taken for granted in this process. Do not assume we don't understand. Send someone to talk to us from DOE, not a consultant or CREST. Give us opportunity to listen and speak. We LIVE on the Grays.	
Requirements & restrictions on property owners need further review with a fine toothed comb to curtail unneeded overreach.	
increased the riparian buffers will help protect private property from being flooded and reduce pollution into the rivers and streams. Will help reduce errossion and protect stream banks	
State review or other reasons for PAYING for a new document required by state legislature	
Blank	5